

Providence St. Joseph Health

## Providence St. Joseph Health Digital Commons

---

Articles, Abstracts, and Reports

---

5-1-2019

### Erratum. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition and Diabetic Kidney Disease. *Diabetes* 2019;68:248-257.

Radica Alicic

*Providence Health Care, Spokane, WA*

Joshua J Neumiller

Emily J Cox

*Providence Sacred Heart Medical Center*

Brad P Dieter

*Providence Health Care, Nephrology Division, Kidney Research Institute, Spokane, Washington*

Katherine Tuttle

*Providence Sacred Heart Medical Center*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.psjhealth.org/publications>



Part of the [Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism Commons](#), and the [Nephrology Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Alicic, Radica; Neumiller, Joshua J; Cox, Emily J; Dieter, Brad P; and Tuttle, Katherine, "Erratum. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition and Diabetic Kidney Disease. *Diabetes* 2019;68:248-257." (2019). *Articles, Abstracts, and Reports*. 1834.

<https://digitalcommons.psjhealth.org/publications/1834>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Providence St. Joseph Health Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles, Abstracts, and Reports by an authorized administrator of Providence St. Joseph Health Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@providence.org](mailto:digitalcommons@providence.org).



# Erratum

## Erratum. Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition and Diabetic Kidney Disease. *Diabetes* 2019;68:248–257

Radica Z. Alicic, Joshua J. Neumiller, Emily J. Johnson, Brad Dieter, and Katherine R. Tuttle

<https://doi.org/10.2337/db19-er05a>

In the article listed above, three figure panels inadvertently contained incorrect labels.

- In Fig. 1B, the label for “increased feedback from macula densa” should have read “reduced feedback from macula densa.”
- In Fig. 2A, the label for “increased feedback from macula densa” should have read “reduced feedback from macula densa.”
- In Fig. 2B, the label for “reduced feedback from macula densa” should have read “increased feedback from macula densa.”

The authors apologize for the errors.

The online version of the article (<https://doi.org/10.2337/dbi18-0007>) has been updated to correct the figure panels.